

# Dynamic binding of methods

- by default in C++ the data type of a variable/parameter is used to determine which method is called through it
- the compiler can determine this at compile time, called **static binding**

```
void dosomething(shape *s) {  
    s->somemethod(); // s is a shape, so call shape::somemethod  
};
```

- suppose circles and squares are derived from shape, and override the inherited shape version of somemethod
- dosomething still just calls shape's version

```
circle c;  
...  
dosomething(&c);
```

# Dynamic binding

- dynamic binding is when the compiler inserts code so that during execution the most suitable method is called
  - under dynamic binding, `s->circle::dosomething()` would have been called in the previous example
- in C++ we specify we want methods dynamically bound using the `virtual` and `override` keywords

```
class shape {  
    ....  
    virtual void dosomething();  
    ....  
};
```

```
class circle: public shape {  
    ....  
    virtual void dosomething() override;  
    ....  
};
```

# Usefulness of dynamic binding

- we might have a large inheritance tree of objects descending from one base class
- we might have functions doing very similar things to/with all these objects, and want the functions to call the correct methods for each
- under static binding we'd need a special function for each class, e.g.
  - `void dosomething(circle* c);`
  - `void dosomething(square* s);`
- under dynamic binding we can have a single function
  - `void dosomething(shape* s)`
- avoids lots of code repetition, works on any class derived from shape

# Example: games

- games may have thousands of object types (NPCs, player characters, rocks, chairs, flowers, cars, guns, etc etc etc)
- there are many actions that can be applied to each (move the object, create the object, paint the object, interact with the object, etc etc)
- allows the main game processing cycle to be something like:
  - create a bunch of objects
  - repeat until game over:
    - detect next event that takes place & which object affected
    - call update function, passing event and object pointers

# Example: display/drawing programs

- suppose we have a program to draw things on the screen, or to allow the user to edit drawings
- potentially thousands of different kinds of shapes/objects can be drawn
- a common set of actions apply to each: redraw, rotate, resize, destroy, change colour/texture, etc
- again, the main processing cycle can be:
  - detect what the user wants to do next and to which shape
  - call an update function passing the shape and action

# Example: data storage/lookups

- our labs used linked lists and binary search trees to store/lookup data
- the parts that interacted with the user look almost identical, repeatedly:
  - ask the user what they want to do next
  - call process function to get more information from them and call the appropriate insert/lookup/etc
- with dynamic binding we could try:
  - set up a base class, DataStore, from which we derive our lists and trees
  - in the main routine user first gets to pick their desired storage type
  - process takes a DataStore\* parameter, we pass it either the bstree or list and its call to insert/lookup/etc uses the correct overridden method

# Data store of key/value string pairs

```
class DataStore {
public:
    DataStore();
    ~DataStore();
    virtual bool insert(string k, string v);
    virtual bool lookup(string k, string& v);
    virtual bool remove(string k);
    virtual void printall();
    virtual int getsize();
};
```

```
class List: virtual public DataStore {
private:
    ...whatever we need for linked list implementation...
public:
    ... constructors, destructors, then for each inherited method:
    virtual bool insert(string k, string v) override;
};
```

```
void Process(DataStore* s, char userCmd)
{
    if ((userCmd == 'P') && (s != NULL)) {
        s->printall();
    } else if ((userCmd == 'I') .... etc ...
}
```

# Pure virtual methods

- instead of a base class providing an actual implementation of a method they can define it as a pure virtual method
- done by assigning 0 instead of giving an implementation
- they have no implementation so ***MUST*** be overridden by descendants

```
class DataStore {  
    ...  
    virtual bool insert(string k, string v) = 0; // we assign 0, DataStore never gives a body for insert  
    ...  
};  
  
class List: virtual public DataStore {  
    ...  
    virtual bool insert(string k, string v) override; // List MUST override insert  
    ...  
};
```

# Abstract base classes

- classes that declare pure virtual methods (like DataStore in previous slide) are called abstract base classes
- you cannot create an instance of an abstract base class (since it has no implementation for at least one method)
- you can still use pointers to abstract base classes for dynamic binding, but what you actually pass to it will be a descendant

```
void process(DataStore* s, char cmd)
{
    ...
}
```

```
int main() {
    List *L = new List;
    ...
    process(L, 'P');
    ...
}
```