Homoiconic languages, self-parsing

- A language is homoiconic if code written in it also forms valid data under the language
- This means you can effectively "see" the internal representation just by looking at the source code
- Lisp is a good example, where you can see your source code as a lisp list, and your lisp code can read, manipulate, and generate lisp code
- Other homoiconic languages include scheme, racket, closure, mathematica, wolfram, julia, prolog, snobal, tcl, ...

Parsing lisp in lisp

- We'll build up a simple translator, that takes a list of lisp statements and builds a list of strings describing them
- We'll have a recursive function, interpretter, go through the list and translate one statement at a time (using function intepret1) and add the resulting string to a list
- In the beginning we'll just handle a few kinds of statements, but we could incrementally add support for more and more types

Parsing lisp in lisp

 Our top level instruction to go through the list of statements and build up a list of descriptions (defun interpretter (statements)

(cond

((not (lisp statements)) nil)

((null statements) nil)

(t (cons (interpret1 (car statements)

(interpret (cdr statements))))

Interpretting a statement

- Our interpret1 function takes a single statement and generates the description string for it
- The function begins by looking at the data type for statement (is it a function, is it a number, is it a list, etc)
- If the statement is actually a list then we'll recursively analyze that
- As a first pass we'll simply return a string for the type of the statement (e.g. for a statement like (f x) it will just return "function call" as the description)
- Later we can replace the strings with function calls that build more accurate descriptions

interpret1

- (defun intepret1 (statement)
 - (typecase statement
 - (function "function_call")
 - (number "numeric_value")
 - (string "text_string")
 - ; for lists, refer back to interpret to analyze contents
 - (list (list "list_of " (interpret statement)))
 - ; add more cases to cope with more of language
 - (t "something_else")))

Trial run

- If we try interpret on (25 "foo" t (interpret 10)) we get (numeric_value text_string something_else (list_of (function_call numeric_value))))
- This is on the right track, but for a function call like (interpret 10) we might want it to say something like (function_call function_name numeric_val)

instead of

(list_of (function_call numeric_value)

Tweak for functions

(defun intepret1 (statement)

(typecase statement

(number "numeric_value")

(string "text_string")

; introduce special intepret function for lists

(list (interpretList statement))

; add more cases to cope with more of language

(t "something_else")))

interpretList

- Check if it is a list or a function call
- (defun interpretList (L)

(cond

((not (listp L)) nil)

((null L) "empty list")

; special handling of function calls

((typep (car L) 'function)

(list "func_call (car L) (interpret (cdr L)))

- ; regular handling of a data list
- (t (list "list_of (interpret L))))

Trial run 2

• Try interpret on (25 "foo" t (interpret 10)) again:

(numeric_value text_string something_else
(func_call INTERPRET (numeric_value)))

- This is pretty close, though we might want to get rid of the brackets around INTERPRET's parameter list, e.g. using (append (list "func_call (car L)) (interpret (cdr L)))
- Instead of

(list "func_call (car L) (interpret (cdr L)))

Continuing on ...

- We can add parsing for more language features by expanding our typecase in interpret1, so that it calls a custom function for each different possible item type
- We could expand the intepretList to recognize key lisp keywords such as let, cond, if, etc where the function name appears, and call custom interpret routines for each
- We could add file handlers, to read the data from .cl files, and error handling etc
- Note the built in (read) function must be doing something like this already....